BODHIVRUKSHA JOURNAL OF DIVERSE DISCIPLINE (BJDD)

An Open-Access, Peer-Reviewed, Multidisciplinary Scholarly Journal | Bi-Monthly | Multilingual | Academic Research

Vol 2, Issue 6 (November-December 2026) (Special Issue 2) | ISSN: 3139-1486 (Online) | ISSN: 3139-9819 (Print)

The Future of India's Middle Class: Changing Consumption Patterns, Household Savings and Their Implications for Economic Growth.

Sk Salman Sk Zameer
Research Scholar
Email id- sksalman1402@gmail.com

Dr M S Gaikwad
PhD Supervisor
G. S. College Khamgaon

Abstract

Since the introduction of economic reforms in 1991, financial liberalization has been a major factor in the transformation of India's banking industry. The goals of the reforms were to increase financial stability, operational effectiveness, competition, and resource allocation. Interest rate deregulation, prudential regulation, capital adequacy requirements, private sector involvement, enhanced banking supervision, and digital financial services were among the significant advances. This study looks at the connection between institutional transformation, financial liberalization, and the success of India's banking industry. Using secondary data from academic literature, government reports, and Reserve Bank of India (RBI) publications, it employs a descriptive and analytical study design. Asset quality, capital sufficiency, profitability, loan expansion, operational effectiveness, and financial inclusion are used to assess banking success. According to official data, scheduled commercial banks' capital-to-risk-weighted-assets ratio reached 17.4% at the end of March 2025, while their gross non-performing asset ratio fell to 2.2%. These advancements show improvements in key aspects of the resilience of the financial system. Nonetheless, growing credit risks, cybersecurity threats, unequal access to financial services, and governance flaws continue to be significant issues. The study makes the case that inclusive banking practices, responsible digitalization, accountable governance, and efficient regulation are necessary to achieve financial liberalization. It finds that striking a balance between financial stability, social responsibility, and commercial efficiency is necessary for long-term institutional development.

Keywords: Financial liberalisation, institutional change, banking-sector reforms, banking performance, financial stability, Reserve Bank of India, financial inclusion, India.

1.Introduction :

The process of encouraging efficiency, competition, and market-focused resource allocation while reducing excessive administrative restrictions on financial institutions and markets is known as financial liberalization. Financial market operations, ownership structures, capital requirements, banking regulation, credit allocation, and interest rate policies are all affected. One of the main components of India's economic reforms in 1991 was financial liberalization. Before liberalization, India's banking system operated in a

BODHIVRUKSHA JOURNAL OF DIVERSE DISCIPLINE (BJDD)
An Open-Access, Peer-Reviewed, Multidisciplinary Scholarly Journal | Bi-Monthly | Multilingual | Academic Research
Vol 2, Issue 6 (November-December 2026) (Special Issue 2) | ISSN: 3139-1486 (Online) | ISSN: 3139-9819 (Print)

highly regulated environment. Statutory reserve requirements, administered interest rates, competition restrictions, and directed credit all had an impact on banking operations. These agreements promoted planned economic development and the growth of banking services. However, they also raised concerns about credit distribution, operational efficiency, profitability, and financial discipline.

The 1991 financial crisis made structural changes imperative. The Committee on the Financial System, led by M. Narasimham, made recommendations for ways to boost prudential supervision, increase banking efficiency, and make the financial system more competitive. Better income-recognition and asset-classification standards, capital adequacy requirements, increased operational flexibility, and improved supervisory mechanisms were all introduced by later reforms. After that, financial liberalization evolved through a number of phases. While public-sector banks underwent organizational restructuring and technological modernization, the entry and growth of private-sector banks increased competition. Financial institutions have embraced computerized banking systems, performance-oriented management techniques, and risk-management strategies.

The scope of institutional transformation has been broadened more recently by digital banking, electronic payments, financial inclusion initiatives, and enhanced methods for resolving stressed assets. Through regulation, oversight, monetary policy, and financial stability initiatives, the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) has continued to play a key role in this process.

The performance of Indian banks provides a significant perspective on the outcomes of these changes. According to the RBI's Report on Trend and Progress of Banking in India 2024–25 (RBI, 2025a), scheduled commercial banks' gross non-performing asset (GNPA) ratio dropped to 2.2% at the end of March 2025, while their capital-to-risk-weighted-assets ratio (CRAR) reached 17.4%. These measures indicate improvements in asset quality and capital strength, but they do not independently demonstrate that liberalization caused these outcomes.

This study examines the institutional changes that have impacted the banking sector and evaluates its performance in the context of India's financial reforms.

2.Objectives of the Study :

The study has the following objectives:

1. To examine the development of financial liberalisation and banking-sector reforms in India since 1991.
2. To analyse institutional changes in banking regulation, governance, competition, and supervision.
3. To evaluate banking-sector performance through asset quality, capital adequacy, profitability, and credit growth.
4. To examine the role of the RBI and other institutions in promoting banking stability.
5. To identify the challenges affecting institutional effectiveness after liberalisation.
6. To suggest measures for improving banking efficiency, financial inclusion, and long-term institutional resilience.

BODHIVRUKSHA JOURNAL OF DIVERSE DISCIPLINE (BJDD)
An Open-Access, Peer-Reviewed, Multidisciplinary Scholarly Journal | Bi-Monthly | Multilingual | Academic Research
Vol 2, Issue 6 (November-December 2026) (Special Issue 2) | ISSN: 3139-1486 (Online) | ISSN: 3139-9819 (Print)

3.Research methodology

3.1 Research design

A descriptive and analytical research design is used in the study. It integrates an evaluation of particular performance metrics with a historical study of banking reforms. This method aids in the explanation of how institutional advancements and shifts in the performance of the banking sector are related.

3.2 Sources of data

The RBI annual reports, the Report on Trend and Progress of Banking in India, Financial Stability Reports, Government of India publications, banking-sector committee reports, academic books, and journal articles are all the sources of secondary data used in this study. Academic literature provides the theoretical framework, and official statistics are used to discuss shifts in banking performance.

3.3 Period of study

The introduction of economic liberalization and the ensuing institutional, technological, and regulatory advancements are included in the historical scope, which spans from 1991 to 2025. The banking sector's recent performance for the fiscal year 2024–2025 is given special consideration.

3.4 Performance indicators

The gross and net non-performing asset ratios, capital adequacy, return on equity, return on assets, credit growth, deposit growth, and operational efficiency are all used to assess banking performance. Changes in regulations, governance procedures, oversight systems, digitalization, and financial inclusion are examples of institutional factors.

3.5 Method of analysis

Descriptive analysis, historical comparison, trend interpretation, and institutional document analysis are all used in the study. When consistent data are available, comparisons between banks in the public and private sectors can be made. The broader reform process is taken into consideration when interpreting the reported banking indicators.

The study makes no claims about conducting primary surveys or econometric testing on its own. As a result, rather than demonstrating direct causal effects, the findings highlight institutional relationships and observed developments. Limitations include variations in reporting periods, the state of the economy, and the makeup of banks.

Discussion

4.1 Financial Liberalisation and Banking Reforms

The operating environment of Indian banks was altered by the 1991 reforms. While later reforms placed more emphasis on prudential standards, commercial performance, and risk-sensitive supervision, earlier arrangements prioritized administrative controls and directed lending.

Stronger oversight, better accounting procedures, competition, and capital adequacy standards were all impacted by the Narasimham Committee's recommendations. New methods for customer service,

BODHIVRUKSHA JOURNAL OF DIVERSE DISCIPLINE (BJDD)
An Open-Access, Peer-Reviewed, Multidisciplinary Scholarly Journal | Bi-Monthly | Multilingual | Academic Research
Vol 2, Issue 6 (November-December 2026) (Special Issue 2) | ISSN: 3139-1486 (Online) | ISSN: 3139-9819 (Print)

technology adoption, product development, and operational management were brought about by the entry and growth of private-sector banks.

The government's and the RBI's regulatory role was not eliminated by these developments. Rather, market-oriented banking made clear reporting, efficient oversight, and methods for spotting financial vulnerabilities more important. Therefore, rather than just reducing government control, financial liberalization should be understood as a change in the relationship between markets and institutions. The ability of institutions to respond to competition while upholding financial discipline determines how effective reforms will be.

4.2 Institutional Transformation and the Role of RBI

Through banking regulation, supervision, payment system oversight, and related policy measures, the RBI plays a crucial role in preserving financial stability. While supervisory mechanisms assist in identifying emerging risks, prudential standards and capital requirements assist institutions in maintaining financial buffers.

Regulations are not the only factor that determines an institution's effectiveness. Banks must uphold trustworthy internal controls, apply standards consistently, and guaranty that senior management and boards continue to be responsible for lending and risk-management choices.

The institutional framework is also influenced by the Indian government, bank boards, auditors, and procedures for resolving distressed assets. To guaranty regulatory compliance and efficient responses to financial stress, these institutions must coordinate with one another.

The RBI's Annual Report 2024–25 highlights governance, risk management, technological supervision, cybersecurity, fraud detection, and consumer protection as important regulatory priorities (RBI, 2025b). This indicates that institutional transformation is an ongoing process requiring adaptation to changing financial products, business models, and risks.

4.3 Asset Quality and Non-Performing Assets

An essential component of credit evaluation, loan monitoring, recovery, and governance is asset quality. Non-performing assets limit the resources available for new lending, raise provisioning requirements, and lower interest income.

In the past, India's banking system had a lot of stressed assets. A more thorough approach to asset-quality management was made possible by initiatives to strengthen recovery mechanisms, recapitalize public-sector banks, enhance credit monitoring, and better recognize impaired loans.

The GNPA ratio of scheduled commercial banks fell to 2.2% at the end of March 2025, according to the RBI's banking-sector report. A decrease in recognized stressed assets in relation to net advances was also indicated by the net NPA ratio, which fell to 0.5% (RBI, 2025a).

This improvement is in line with the resolution of stressed loans and improved asset-quality management. These ratios must be interpreted in conjunction with loan write-offs, recoveries, new loan slippages, and credit expansion, though. A decreasing NPA ratio by itself does not prove that every borrower or bank category has seen the same improvement.

BODHIVRUKSHA JOURNAL OF DIVERSE DISCIPLINE (BJDD)
An Open-Access, Peer-Reviewed, Multidisciplinary Scholarly Journal | Bi-Monthly | Multilingual | Academic Research
Vol 2, Issue 6 (November-December 2026) (Special Issue 2) | ISSN: 3139-1486 (Online) | ISSN: 3139-9819 (Print)

The data emphasizes how crucial responsible lending, efficient recovery processes, transparent reporting, and sound credit evaluation are to maintaining banking performance.

4.4 Capital Adequacy and Institutional Resilience

Capital adequacy measures a bank's capacity to absorb losses relative to its risk-weighted assets. It is therefore a critical indicator of financial resilience.

The development of capital adequacy requirements strengthened the prudential framework of Indian banking. Banks are expected to maintain capital buffers appropriate to their exposures, helping them withstand unexpected losses and continue operations during periods of stress.

The RBI reported that the CRAR of scheduled commercial banks stood at 17.4% at the end of March 2025 (RBI, 2025a). This indicates a substantial capital buffer in the banking system.

However, capital strength must be considered alongside liquidity, asset quality, governance, and risk concentration. Strong capital cannot fully compensate for poor lending decisions, inadequate internal controls, or persistent operational weaknesses.

Institutional resilience therefore depends on a combination of adequate capital, effective supervision, prudent risk management, and accountable leadership. Continued monitoring is necessary to ensure that strong capital positions are maintained as lending expands and financial risks evolve.

4.5 Profitability, Competition, and Operational Efficiency

Financial liberalization increased competition and forced banks to improve their productivity, customer service, operating systems, and product development. Private-sector banks contributed to technology-driven business models, while public-sector banks modernized their operations and increased the scope of their digital services.

Scheduled commercial banks had a return on equity of 13.5% and a return on assets of 1.4% for the fiscal year, according to the RBI's 2024–25 banking-sector report (RBI, 2025a). These figures suggest that the sector's profitability remained high.

Profitability, however, can be impacted by a number of factors, including interest rates, lending composition, provisioning, funding costs, and overall economic conditions. It is not a complete measure of institutional effectiveness.

Operating costs, customer satisfaction, risk-adjusted returns, service dependability, and the capacity to extend credit to profitable industries should all be taken into account in a thorough evaluation. Public-sector banks function within a framework that blends developmental responsibilities with commercial goals. Therefore, their contribution to inclusive economic development as well as their financial sustainability should be taken into consideration when evaluating their performance.

4.6 Digital Transformation and Financial Inclusion

One significant stage of institutional change is digital transformation. The way that consumers access services and banks handle transactions has been altered by core banking systems, mobile banking, internet banking, and electronic payment infrastructure.

BODHIVRUKSHA JOURNAL OF DIVERSE DISCIPLINE (BJDD)
An Open-Access, Peer-Reviewed, Multidisciplinary Scholarly Journal | Bi-Monthly | Multilingual | Academic Research
Vol 2, Issue 6 (November-December 2026) (Special Issue 2) | ISSN: 3139-1486 (Online) | ISSN: 3139-9819 (Print)

Digital channels can speed up transactions, increase convenience, provide services to clients who live far away, and facilitate more effective financial management. Additionally, information availability for monitoring and decision-making can be enhanced by digital infrastructure.

Digitalization does, however, bring with it new obligations. Data protection, operational resilience, cybersecurity, digital fraud, and grievance redressal are now crucial elements of banking governance.

It takes more than just opening accounts and granting access to digital payment methods to achieve financial inclusion. Consumers require services that are reasonable, dependable, intelligible, and suitable for their budget. Effective participation may be hampered by issues with connectivity, digital literacy, language, and trust.

The RBI's Annual Report 2024–25 identifies financial inclusion and credit delivery as continuing policy priorities, including support for agriculture and micro, small, and medium enterprises (RBI, 2025b). The RBI also reported that its Financial Inclusion Index reached 64.2 in March 2024, registering year-on-year growth of 6.8% (RBI, 2025b). These developments demonstrate progress in access and usage, although further evaluation is needed to determine the quality and sustainability of financial inclusion.

4.7 Continuing Challenges

Indian banking institutions still face a number of difficulties despite notable advancements. Excessive exposure to specific industries, poor credit appraisal, and governance flaws can all lead to the creation of new stressed assets. Rapid credit expansion necessitates careful risk monitoring, and cybersecurity incidents and digital fraud can erode consumer confidence.

Performance across institutions can also be impacted by variations in technology, management capability, and access to qualified staff. Small businesses and rural consumers may still have trouble finding appropriate financial products.

These difficulties show that liberalization is an ongoing process. To maintain institutional resilience, ongoing regulatory improvement, improved governance, efficient internal controls, and responsible innovation are still required.

5.Findings

The study identifies the following findings from the review of financial reforms and official banking-sector evidence:

- Institutional restructuring: Prudential regulation, capital adequacy standards, and supervisory mechanisms have strengthened the formal framework governing Indian banks.
- Improved asset quality: The gross NPA ratio of scheduled commercial banks declined to 2.2% at the end of March 2025, indicating substantial improvement in reported asset quality.
- Strong capital position: The CRAR of 17.4% at the end of March 2025 indicates that scheduled commercial banks maintained substantial capital buffers.
- Robust profitability: The reported return on assets of 1.4% and return on equity of 13.5% for 2024–25 indicate continued profitability in the banking sector.

BODHIVRUKSHA JOURNAL OF DIVERSE DISCIPLINE (BJDD)
An Open-Access, Peer-Reviewed, Multidisciplinary Scholarly Journal | Bi-Monthly | Multilingual | Academic Research
Vol 2, Issue 6 (November-December 2026) (Special Issue 2) | ISSN: 3139-1486 (Online) | ISSN: 3139-9819 (Print)

- Greater competition and technological change: Liberalisation encouraged competition and modernisation, while digital banking expanded the potential reach and efficiency of financial services.
- Continuing institutional risks: Cybersecurity, governance, credit risk, and unequal access to financial services remain important challenges.
- Importance of inclusive performance: Financial stability and profitability should be assessed alongside access, affordability, customer protection, and responsible credit delivery.
- Need for further empirical analysis: The evidence demonstrates changes in banking-sector indicators, but a more rigorous statistical study is required to isolate the effects of liberalisation from other economic and regulatory influences.

6.Conclusion

Since 1991, financial liberalization has drastically changed India's banking industry. Banking institutions and their interactions with consumers and the broader economy have changed as a result of shifts in prudential regulation, capital adequacy, competition, supervision, and technology.

The official data examined in this paper shows increases in profitability, capital strength, and asset quality. The banking system appears to have improved its ability to manage financial risks, as evidenced by the declining GNPA ratio and significant capital buffers. However, these results need to be interpreted in light of broader economic circumstances, regulatory actions, and modifications to lending practices.

The study comes to the conclusion that commercial performance should not be the only criterion used to assess financial liberalization. Institutional accountability, consumer protection, responsible digitalization, and significant financial inclusion are all necessary for long-term success.

A suitable balance between regulation and competition is necessary for the future growth of Indian banking. Banks must maintain their financial viability while promoting profitable investment and equitable economic growth. To better understand the relationship between financial reforms and banking efficiency, institutional resilience, and inclusive growth, more empirical research utilizing long-term bank-level data, comparative performance indicators, and suitable statistical techniques is needed.

7.References

1. Government of India. (1998). Report of the Committee on Banking Sector Reforms. Ministry of Finance, Government of India.
2. Government of India. (2024). Economic Survey 2023–24. Ministry of Finance, Government of India.
3. Government of India. (2025). Economic Survey 2024–25. Ministry of Finance, Government of India.
4. McKinnon, R. I. (1973). Money and Capital in Economic Development. Brookings Institution.
5. Narasimham, M. (1991). Report of the Committee on the Financial System. Government of India.
6. Narasimham, M. (1998). Report of the Committee on Banking Sector Reforms. Government of India.
7. Reserve Bank of India. (2023). Report on Trend and Progress of Banking in India 2022–23. Reserve Bank of India.

BODHIVRUKSHA JOURNAL OF DIVERSE DISCIPLINE (BJDD)
An Open-Access, Peer-Reviewed, Multidisciplinary Scholarly Journal | Bi-Monthly | Multilingual | Academic Research
Vol 2, Issue 6 (November-December 2026) (Special Issue 2) | ISSN: 3139-1486 (Online) | ISSN: 3139-9819 (Print)

8. Reserve Bank of India. (2024). Annual Report 2023–24. Reserve Bank of India.
9. Reserve Bank of India. (2024). Financial Stability Report, December 2024. Reserve Bank of India.
10. Reserve Bank of India. (2025a). Report on Trend and Progress of Banking in India 2024–25. Reserve Bank of India.
11. Reserve Bank of India. (2025b). Annual Report 2024–25. Reserve Bank of India.
12. Reserve Bank of India. (2025). Financial Stability Report, June 2025. Reserve Bank of India.
13. Shaw, E. S. (1973). Financial Deepening in Economic Development. Oxford University Press.
14. Stiglitz, J. E. (1994). The role of the state in financial markets. Proceedings of the World Bank Annual Conference on Development Economics 1993, 19–52.

Official data sources

- Reserve Bank of India, Report on Trend and Progress of Banking in India: https://www.rbi.org.in/Scripts/AnnualPublications.aspx?head=Trend%20and%20Progress%20of%20Banking%20in%20India
- Reserve Bank of India, Annual Reports: https://www.rbi.org.in/scripts/AnnualReportPublications.aspx
- Reserve Bank of India, Financial Stability Reports: https://www.rbi.org.in/Scripts/FsReports.aspx
- Department of Financial Services, Government of India: https://financialservices.gov.in/