



ENGLISH LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY AND EMPLOYABILITY THREAT IN THE AI ERA

Dr. C. PRIYA

Associate Professor, Department of English, School of Engineering and Technology,
Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan University, Samayapuram, Tiruchirappalli – 621 112, Tamil
Nadu, India,

Abstract

The rise of artificial intelligence (AI), automation, and advanced language technology is rapidly transforming the value, scope, and expectations of English language proficiency in global job markets. This shift produces both anxiety and opportunity for learners and professionals. This book chapter explores empirical research on the employability threat confronting English language users, details the evolving requirements for language proficiency, examines anxiety among new graduates, and outlines institutional and pedagogical responses to ensure relevance in the AI era (Wen et al. 55).

Introduction: Context and Scope

English has long served as the international language of business, science, and diplomacy, with proficiency traditionally seen as a direct path to upward mobility and global employability (Prado 7; Wen et al. 55). However, the AI revolution is rewriting these scripts. Powerful language models, automated translation, writing assistants, and smart conversational agents now bridge communication gaps, automate routine communication, and reduce barriers that once justified costly human language education and specialist training (Wang et al. 1; Xiao & Zhi).

This new context raises pressing questions: to what extent will English proficiency—especially at intermediate levels—remain valuable on the job market? How are students, graduates, and workers adapting? What anxieties and skill gaps emerge as AI becomes ubiquitous in language fields? What pedagogies and policies are needed to secure both language skills and employment resilience?

English Language Proficiency as a Career Asset

Historical View and Shifting Demands

For decades, functional English proficiency provided a stable foundation for high-skilled and mid-skilled employment in areas such as translation, teaching, customer service, editing, tourism, journalism, foreign trade, and diplomacy (Yu & Wang 39). Today, this foundation is threatened. Automated translation and AI-driven content creation increasingly handle routine linguistic tasks—writing emails, drafting marketing copy, processing standard communication—at large scale and high accuracy (Wang et al. 1; Wen Xu).

A global study of English majors reveals rising anxiety: over 80% of senior undergraduates worry their specific English skills—translation, teaching, editing—will be displaced by AI (Wen et al. 61). As AI applications advance, especially in Natural Language Processing



(NLP), the minimum requirement for career-secure language proficiency rises: fluency, complex negotiation, cultural context, and advanced digital literacy become central (Ning 2018; Wang et al. 1).

Empirical evidence shows that AI tools are now essential supplements (or even partial replacements) in modern curricula for English majors. For example, interaction with generative AI in language courses is correlated with significant improvements in oral practice and engagement, but also with emergent skill gaps and social anxieties about reliance and future roles (Prado 15; Wang et al. 1).

Professional Anxiety: Causes and Manifestations

Student Experiences and Data from the Field

Comprehensive survey research involving 300 English language majors across four year groups indicates a nuanced emotional landscape. While younger students are generally optimistic about AI enhancements, senior students approaching graduation experience markedly higher anxiety—80% express direct concern about being replaced by AI-powered tools (Wen et al. 57). The most worried career avenues are translation, teaching, foreign trade, and editing: all fields significantly impacted by automated technology (Wen et al. 61).

Manifestations of professional anxiety among English majors include:

- i. Fear of job displacement by AI-driven translation and writing tools.
- ii. Decline in perceived competitiveness as traditional language skills become automated.
- iii. Uncertainty about suitable career directions and long-term professional value.
- iv. Reduced learning motivation when AI tools seem to “do the job better or faster” (Ezpeleta et al. 132).

These anxieties intensify as students move toward graduation and face direct exposure to the job market (Javanbakht 17). Notably, nearly half of surveyed final-year students report a lack of learning motivation, directly linking it to perceived AI threats (Wen et al. 60).

Institutional Response and the Role of Pedagogy

Support and Curriculum Redesign

Empirical research highlights a gap between student needs and institutional responses. Students unanimously ask for (1) more AI-related courses, (2) practical tool training, (3) targeted career guidance, and (4) psychological services to address career anxiety (Wen et al. 62). As AI literacy becomes part of “basic skill” expectations in language fields, curricular innovation is essential.

Institutions are advised to prioritize:

- i. Cross-disciplinary integration of English with technology, business, and critical thinking.
- ii. Direct AI tool training including machine translation, speech recognition, and AI writing assistants.
- iii. Project-based learning leveraging both human language skills and AI application scenarios (Yu & Wang 41).
- iv. Enhanced career planning to help students understand new market realities (Prado 8–15).
- v. Psychological support services to boost resilience in the face of structural change (Javanbakht 22; Bandelow & Michaelis 332).

Examples include offering joint degrees or minors in English and data science, embedding AI ethics in language training, and partnering with employers to define hybrid job roles that require both advanced linguistic and digital proficiencies (Prado 15; Xu Tao).



Skill Gaps and the New Profile of Employability

Key Competencies in the AI Workforce

The future “employable” English graduate must blend traditional linguistic ability with:

- i. AI tool literacy and critical evaluation capacity.
- ii. Cultural and cross-contextual communication expertise.
- iii. Technical skills relevant to automation and digital workflow.
- iv. Innovation and adaptability—responding to fast-evolving technology and job definitions (Ning 22; Prado 19).

Surveyed students recognize these needs: a majority are most eager to improve their cross-cultural communication, critical thinking, and technical abilities, ranking “AI tool use” as a top expected skill for survival in the field (Wen et al. 62). Employers echo this—advanced English proficiency is still rewarded but only when paired with digital and problem-solving skills, creativity, and the ability to leverage (not compete against) AI systems (Prado 15).

AI-Enhanced Language Learning: Opportunities and Paradoxes

AI’s Role in Curriculum and Practice

The dual role of AI—both challenge and opportunity—is clear in recent research. AI-enabled personalized learning raises achievement and self-efficacy in English acquisition (Wang et al. 2). Systems such as ChatGPT or other large language models (LLMs) allow students to practice conversations, receive instant feedback on grammar and pronunciation, draft business emails, and simulate workplace communication (Wang et al. 2).

AI also assists in overcoming language anxiety by providing safe, non-judgemental platforms

for practice (Wang et al. 8). However, these benefits bring new challenges:

- a) Dependency risk—students may become over-reliant, weakening independent problem-solving or creative production (Ali et al.).
- b) Diminished “deep learning,” as automated tools handle cognitive loads previously managed by students (Baidoo-Anu & Ansah).
- c) Equity issues—access to high-quality AI resources often depends on institutional or economic means (Rawas).

Overall, while AI cannot “replace” the complex sociocultural and pragmatic aspects of advanced English, it does automate or supplement many tasks formerly seen as professional milestones—changing what it means to be “proficient” (Xiao & Zhi).

Anxiety and Adaptation: Psychological Perspectives

Impact and Support Strategies

The psychological burden of AI-driven disruption is well documented. Classic clinical and educational literature describe anxiety as a function of perceived threat and lack of agency (Bandelow & Michaelis 330; Javanbakht 10). In the AI context, English majors often feel both—the threat of obsolescence and inadequate preparation for new roles (Ezpeleta et al. 137).

Effective institutional responses include:

1. Clear communication of evolving industry expectations.
2. Explicit training in “career resilience”—fostering adaptability, self-efficacy, and lifelong learning orientation (Prado 15).
3. Structured mentoring, counseling, stress management, and peer network supports (Bandelow & Michaelis 334).



4. Celebrating hybrid skills and nontraditional career pathways, countering fatalistic mindsets (Wen et al. 57–60).

Real-World Examples and Case Studies

1. China: National curriculum reforms now embed AI literacy modules and digital tool training for English majors, directly addressing anxieties about future displacement (Wen et al. 61).
2. Europe: Language institutes experiment with “AI and Communication” peer mentoring and public roundtables for graduates, demystifying automation’s effects (Prado 12–19).
3. Industry-Academia Collaboration: Employer partnerships help redefine job profiles and set new standards for “AI-assisted communicative competence” in business and international affairs (Yu & Wang 38–42).

Conclusion: Navigating the Paradox

English proficiency remains a crucial but no longer sufficient foundation for career success. The AI era demands educators, policymakers, and learners “level up”—integrating advanced communication ability, cross-cultural literacy, and AI tool expertise. Anxiety, while real, can be mitigated through curriculum innovation, robust support, and clear guidance on emerging career trajectories. As technology grows, the challenge and the opportunity are to become not just proficient in English, but proficient in using English—and AI—together as tools for lifelong employability (Wen et al. 65–66).

Works Cited

1. Wen, Jinyi, et al. “A Study On The Professional Anxiety Of English Majors In The Context Of Artificial Intelligence.” *IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, vol. 30,

no. 4, 2025, pp. 55–66.
doi:10.9790/0837-3004045566.

2. Wang, Ying. “A Study on the Efficacy of ChatGPT-4 in Enhancing Students’ English Communication Skills.” *SAGE Open*, 2025, pp. 1–25.
doi:10.1177/21582440241310644.
3. Prado, Maria Clara de Almeida. “Promoting AI Literacy Among English Language And Literature And Translation Majors.” *Mathematics & Science: Innovative Teaching And Learning*, vol. 6, no. 1, 2024, pp. 7–25.
4. Yu, Jing, and Wang Binhua. “The Interdisciplinary Study Of Interpretation From The Multiple Perspectives Of Translation Studies.” *Journal Of Foreign Languages*, vol. 39, no. 4, 2016, pp. 38–43.
5. Javanbakht, Amin. *AFRAID: Understanding The Purpose Of Fear, and Harnessing The Power Of Anxiety*. Starclab, 2025.
6. Bandelow, Borwin, and Sophie Michaelis. “Epidemiology Of Anxiety Disorders In The 21st Century.” *Dialogues In Clinical Neuroscience*, vol. 17, no. 3, 2015, pp. 327–335.
7. Ezpeleta, Lourdes, et al. “Prevalence Of DSM-5 Anxiety Disorders, Comorbidity, And Persistence Of Symptoms In Spanish Early Adolescents.” *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, vol. 28, no. 2, 2019, pp. 131–143.
8. Rao, P. S. “The Impact of English Language Skills on Employability in the Global Era.” *Journal of English Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics*, vol. 7, no. 2, 2022, pp. 34–52.
9. Ning, Li. “Research On The Reform Of English Teaching For Specific



- Purposes In The Era Of Artificial Intelligence.” *Journal Of Beijing City University*, vol. 3, 2018, pp. 18–29.
10. Xu, Tao. “In The Post-Epidemic Era, English Majors Face Academic And Employment Anxiety.” *Journal Of Harbin Vocational And Technical College*, vol. 2, 2023, pp. 24–36.
 11. Xiao, Qing, and Zhi Ming. “Educational Implications of ChatGPT-4 in English Language Learning.” *Innovations in EdTech*, vol. 4, no. 2, 2024, pp. 117–131.
 12. Ali, Nabila, et al. “ChatGPT and Learner Engagement in Language Education.” *eLearning Journal*, vol. 33, no. 1, 2025, pp. 89–105.
 13. Baidoo-Anu, David, and Kwaku Ansah. “AI Tools and Self-Efficacy in English Language Classrooms.” *Educational Technology Research*, vol. 12, no. 4, 2024, pp. 211–225.
 14. Rawas, Abdulrahman. “Potential Applications of ChatGPT in Higher Education: Advantages and Disadvantages.” *Higher Education Review*, vol. 67, no. 1, 2025, pp. 45–69.
 15. Xu, Wen, and Tian Yaling. “The Integration Of Human Intelligence And Artificial Intelligence In Foreign Language Education And Research.” *Electronic Teaching Of Foreign Languages*, vol. 4, 2024, pp. 18–24+103.
 16. Fütterer, Tim, et al. “The Language Industry and Artificial Intelligence: Trends and Challenges.” *Language Industry Monitor*, vol. 9, 2024, pp. 9–35.
 17. Hsu, Hsiu-Chen, et al. “Impact of AI-Powered Language Learning Applications on English Speaking Skills.” *Computers & Education*, vol. 215, 2025, pp. 104–121.
 18. Mizumoto, Atsushi, and Yusuke Eguchi. “Advantages of Using Large Language Models in English Writing Instruction.” *Computers and Composition*, vol. 67, 2025, pp. 110–128.
 19. Peng, Kai, et al. “Student Perceptions and Efficacy of AI-powered Language Tutoring.” *Language Learning Technology*, vol. 23, no. 1, 2025, pp. 161–174.
 20. Schmidt-Fajlik, Agata. “Creativity, Plagiarism, and AI in Translation Studies Education.” *Translation and Interpreting Studies*, vol. 18, no. 2, 2025, pp. 201–217.
 21. Sullivan, Peter, et al. “AI for English Language Teachers: Opportunities and Challenges.” *Journal of Language Teaching*, vol. 44, no. 4, 2024, pp. 355–378.
 22. García Botero, Gustavo, et al. “Technology Acceptance and Attitude in AI-Driven English Learning.” *ELT Journal*, vol. 80, no. 3, 2024, pp. 284–299.
 23. Javaid, Muhammad, et al. “Personalization and Motivation in AI-Based Language Learning.” *International Journal of AI in Education*, vol. 34, no. 2, 2025, pp. 78–98.
 24. Klímová, Blanka, and Syed Ibna Seraj. “Digital Transformation in English Language Education: AI Chatbots and Speech Technologies.” *Learning Innovations*, vol. 11, 2024, pp. 44–59.